arXiv:1510.04223v2 [math.GR] 12 Mar 2016

A FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS PROOF OF GROMOV'S POLYNOMIAL GROWTH THEOREM

NARUTAKA OZAWA

Abstract. The celebrated theorem of Gromov asserts that any finitely generated group with polynomial growth contains a nilpotent subgroup of finite index. Alternative proofs have been given by Kleiner and others. In this note, we give yet another proof of Gromov's theorem, along the lines of Shalom and Chifan–Sinclair, which is based on the analysis of reduced cohomology and Shalom's property H_{FD}.

1. Introduction

The celebrated theorem of Gromov ([Gr, vDW]) asserts that any finitely generated group with weakly polynomial growth contains a nilpotent subgroup of finite index. Here a group G is said to have *weakly polynomial growth* if $\liminf |S^n|/\log n < \infty$ for any finite generating subset S such that $1 \in S = S^{-1}$. Alternative proofs have been given by Kleiner and others ([Kl, ST, Hr, BGT]). In this note, we give yet another proof of Gromov's theorem, along the lines of Shalom ([Sh2]) and Chifan–Sinclair ([CS]), which is based on the analysis of reduced cohomology and Shalom's property H_{FD}.

Let $\pi\colon G \curvearrowright \mathcal{H}$ be a unitary representation. Recall that a 1-*cocycle* of G with coefficients in π is a map $b\colon G \to \mathcal{H}$ which satisfies

$$\forall g, x \in G \quad b(gx) = b(g) + \pi_g b(x).$$

A 1-*coboundary* is a 1-cocycle of the form $b(g) = \xi - \pi_g\xi$ for some $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, and an *approximate* 1-*coboundary* is a 1-cocycle that is a pointwise limit of 1-coboundaries. The spaces of 1-cocycles, 1-coboundaries, approximate 1-coboundaries are written respectively by $Z^1(G,\pi)$, $B^1(G,\pi)$, and $\overline{B^1(G,\pi)}$, and so the *reduced cohomology* space $\overline{H^1}(G,\pi)$ is $Z^1(G,\pi)/\overline{B^1(G,\pi)}$. It is proved by Mok and Korevaar–Schoen ([Mo, KS], see also [Sh1] and Theorem A in Appendix) that any finitely generated group G without Kazhdan's property (T) admits a unitary representation π with $\overline{H^1}(G,\pi) \neq 0$. A group G is said to have *Shalom's property* H_{FD} if $\overline{H^1}(G,\pi) \neq 0$ implies that π is not weakly mixing. Here π is said to be *weakly mixing* if $\mathcal{H}$ admits no nonzero finite-dimensional $\pi(G)$-invariant subspaces. We recall that infinite amenable groups, and in particular groups with weakly polynomial growth, do not have property (T) (see, e.g., [BO, Chapter 12]). Thus, if such a group has property H_{FD}, then it has a finite-dimensional unitary representation π with $\overline{H^1}(G,\pi) \neq 0$. Shalom has observed that a proof of property H_{FD} for a group with weakly polynomial growth implies Gromov's theorem (see [Sh2, Section 6.7] and [Ta]). In this

Date: 2016 March 12.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20F65; 20F69, 43A07.

Key words and phrases. Reduced cohomology, hamonic 1-cocycles, entropy growth.

The author was partially supported by JSPS26400114.

paper, we prove that a group with slow entropy growth has property H_{FD}, thus giving a new proof of Gromov's theorem. Here we say G has *slow entropy growth* if there is a non-degenerate finitely-supported symmetric probability measure μ on G with $\mu(e) > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_n n(H(\mu^{*n+1}) - H(\mu^{*n})) < \infty,$$

where H is the entropy functional (see Section 3). A group with weakly polynomial growth has slow entropy growth since $H(\mu^{*n}) \leq \log(\sharp \operatorname{supp} \mu^{*n})$ by convexity of log. It is likely that the converse is also true. In fact, a group with $H(\mu^{*n}) = O(\log n)$ has weakly polynomial growth by Varopoulos's inequality ([Va]).

Theorem. *A finitely generated group with slow entropy growth has property H_{FD}.*

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank Professor L. Saloff-Coste for drawing his attention to [EK], Professor A. Erschler for encouraging the author to include Proposition in Section 4, and Professor A. Yadin for useful comments.

2. Reduced cohomology and harmonic 1-cocycles

Let G be a finitely generated group and fix a non-degenerate finitely-supported symmetric probability measure μ with $\mu(e) > 0$. Let $\pi\colon G \curvearrowright \mathcal{H}$ be a unitary representation. The space $Z^1(G,\pi)$ of 1-cocycles is a Hilbert space under the norm

$$\|b\|_{Z^1(G,\pi)} := \Big(\sum_x \mu(x)\|b(x)\|^2\Big)^{1/2}.$$

The space $\overline{B^1(G,\pi)}$ agrees with the closure of $B^1(G,\pi)$ in the Hilbert space $Z^1(G,\pi)$. We observe that $b \in Z^1(G,\pi)$ is orthogonal to $B^1(G,\pi)$ if and only if it is *μ-harmonic*: $\sum_x \mu(x)b(x) = 0$ or equivalently $\sum_x \mu(x)b(gx) = b(g)$ for all $g \in G$. Indeed, this follows from the identities $b(x^{-1}) + \pi_x^{-1}b(x) = b(e) = 0$ and

$$\sum_x \mu(x)\langle b(x), \xi - \pi_x\xi\rangle = 2\langle \sum_x \mu(x)b(x), \xi\rangle.$$

Since $Z^1(G,\pi) = \overline{B^1(G,\pi)} \oplus B^1(G,\pi)^\perp$, the reduced cohomology space $\overline{H^1}(G,\pi)$ is identified with the space $B^1(G,\pi)^\perp$ of μ-harmonic 1-cocycles, and so we will concentrate on μ-harmonic 1-cocycles.

Let b be a μ-harmonic 1-cocycle. Then, one has $\sum_x \mu^{*n}(x)\|b(x)\|^2 = n\sum_x \mu(x)\|b(x)\|^2$. In this section, we give a better inequality, which is inspired by the work of Chifan and Sinclair ([CS]). Let $\mathcal{H} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{H}}$ denote the Hilbert space tensor product of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and its complex conjugate $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$. We recall that π is weakly mixing if and only if the unitary representation $\pi \otimes \bar{\pi}$ on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{H}}$ has no nonzero invariant vectors. Indeed, $\mathcal{H} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{H}}$ can be identified with the space $S_2(\mathcal{H})$ of Hilbert–Schmidt operators on $\mathcal{H}$, and under this identification $\pi_g \otimes \bar{\pi}_g$ becomes the conjugation action of π_g on $S_2(\mathcal{H})$. Since any nonzero Hilbert–Schmidt operator is compact and has a nonzero finite-dimensional eigenspace, our claim follows.

Lemma. *Let $b\colon G \to \mathcal{H}$ be a μ-harmonic 1-cocycle with coefficients in an weakly mixing unitary representation π. Then one has*

$$\frac{1}{n}\Big\|\sum_x \mu^{*n}(x)(b(x)\otimes \bar{b}(x))\Big\|_{\mathcal{H}\otimes\bar{\mathcal{H}}} \to 0.$$

In particular,

$$\sup_{\xi\in\mathcal{H},\,\|\xi\|\le 1} \frac{1}{n}\sum_x \mu^{*n}(x)|\langle b(x),\xi\rangle|^2 \to 0.$$

Proof. Since

$$\sum_x \mu(x)(b(gx)\otimes\bar{b}(gx)) = b(g)\otimes\bar{b}(g) + (\pi_g\otimes\bar{\pi}_g)\sum_x \mu(x)(b(x)\otimes\bar{b}(x))$$

for every $g\in G$, putting $\zeta := \sum_x \mu(x)(b(x)\otimes\bar{b}(x))$ and $T := \sum_x \mu(x)(\pi_x\otimes\bar{\pi}_x)$, one has

$$\sum_x \mu^{*n}(x)(b(x)\otimes\bar{b}(x)) = (1+T+\cdots+T^{n-1})\zeta.$$

Since π is weakly mixing, $\pi\otimes\bar{\pi}$ admits no nonzero invariant vectors, and hence by strict convexity of a Hilbert space, 1 is not an eigenvalue of the self-adjoint contraction T. Thus, Bounded Convergence Theorem yields

$$\frac{1}{n}\Big\|\sum_x \mu^{*n}(x)(b(x)\otimes\bar{b}(x))\Big\|_{\mathcal{H}\otimes\bar{\mathcal{H}}} = \Big(\int_{-1}^{1}\Big|\frac{1+t+\cdots+t^{n-1}}{n}\Big|^2 dm(t)\Big)^{1/2} \to 0,$$

where m is the measure $\langle E_T(\,\cdot\,)\zeta,\zeta\rangle$ associated with the spectral resolution E_T of T. The second statement follows from the first, because $|\langle b(x),\xi\rangle|^2 = \langle b(x)\otimes\bar{b}(x),\xi\otimes\bar{\xi}\rangle$. □

3. Concavity of the entropy functional

We will recall the basic fact that the entropy functional

$$p \mapsto H(p) := -\sum_x p(x)\log p(x)$$

is concave and examine its modulus of concavity. Our discussion in this section is inspired by Erschler and Karlsson's work [EK]. See also [B+] for relevant information. Let p and q be any non-negative functions. For convenience, we put

$$\delta(p,q) := H(\frac{p+q}{2}) - \frac{H(p)+H(q)}{2}.$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{2}(a\log a + b\log b) - \frac{a+b}{2}\log\frac{a+b}{2} \ge \frac{|a-b|^2}{8(a+b)} \ge 0$$

for any $a,b\ge 0$ (this follows from the fact $(t\log t)'' = (1+\log t)' = t^{-1} \ge (a+b)^{-1}$ for all t between a and b), one has

$$\delta(p,q) \ge \sum_x \frac{|p(x)-q(x)|^2}{8(p(x)+q(x))} \ge 0.$$

This implies concavity of H. Moreover, for any non-negative function f, one has

$$\sum_x f(x)|p-q|(x) \le \big(8\delta(p,q)\sum_x f(x)^2(p+q)(x)\big)^{1/2} \tag{1}$$

by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. In particular, $\|p-q\|_1 \le (8\delta(p,q)\|p+q\|_1)^{1/2}$.

For any probability measures μ and ν on G and $g_0 \in G$, one has

$$H(\mu*\nu) - H(\nu) \ge 2\min\{\mu(e),\mu(g_0)\}\delta(\nu, g_0\nu). \tag{2}$$

Here $\mu*\nu = \sum_g \mu(g)(g\nu)$ and $(g\nu)(x) = \nu(g^{-1}x)$. Indeed, put $\lambda = \min\{\mu(e),\mu(g_0)\}$ and observe that $\nu' := (1-2\lambda)^{-1}(\mu*\nu - (\lambda\nu + \lambda g_0\nu))$ is a convex combination of $g\nu$'s and that $H(g\nu) = H(\nu)$. Hence, $H(\nu') \ge H(\nu)$ by concavity and

$$\begin{aligned} H(\mu*\nu) - H(\nu) &= H(2\lambda\frac{\nu+g_0\nu}{2} + (1-2\lambda)\nu') - H(\nu) \\ &\ge 2\lambda\big(H(\frac{\nu+g_0\nu}{2}) - H(\nu)\big) + (1-2\lambda)\big(H(\nu') - H(\nu)\big) \\ &\ge 2\lambda\delta(\nu, g_0\nu). \end{aligned}$$

4. Proof of Theorem

Proof of Theorem. Let $b\colon G \to \mathcal{H}$ be a 1-cocycle with coefficients in an weakly mixing unitary representation π, and we will prove that $b \in \overline{B^1(G,\pi)}$. As discussed in Section 2, we may assume that b is μ-harmonic. Let $g \in \operatorname{supp}\mu$ be given. Then, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$\langle b(g),\xi\rangle = \langle \sum_x (b(gx)-b(x))\mu^{*n}(x), \xi\rangle = \sum_x \langle b(x),\xi\rangle(g\mu^{*n} - \mu^{*n})(x)$$

and so by inequalities (1) and (2)

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle b(g),\xi\rangle|^2 &\le 8\delta(\mu^{*n}, g\mu^{*n}) \sum_x |\langle b(x),\xi\rangle|^2 (g\mu^{*n} + \mu^{*n})(x) \\ &\le C_g(H(\mu^{*n+1}) - H(\mu^{*n})) \sum_x |\langle b(x),\xi\rangle|^2 (g\mu^{*n} + \mu^{*n})(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $C_g = 4\min\{\mu(e),\mu(g)\}^{-1}$. Since $\sum_x |\langle b(x),\xi\rangle|^2(g\mu^{*n}+\mu^{*n})(x)$ has sublinear growth by Lemma in Section 2 (and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality), slow entropy growth implies that $\langle b(g),\xi\rangle = 0$ for all $g \in \operatorname{supp}\mu$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$. Since μ is non-degenerate, this means that $b = 0$. □

Note that the slow entropy growth condition implies the following ([EK, Lemma 8])

$$\liminf_n n^{-1/2} \max_{|g|\le 1} \|\mu^{*n} - g\mu^{*n}\|_1 < \infty.$$

Since the slow entropy growth condition seems too restrictive, we give here a supplementary result that the above condition yields an weaker conclusion (although the author is still not aware of any super-polynomial growth group to which Proposition applies.)

Proposition. *Let G be a finitely generated group with the word length $|\cdot|$ and let μ be a non-degenerate finitely-supported symmetric probability measure with $\mu(e) > 0$. Assume that there is $\delta > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $n \ge N$ such that for*

any $g \in G$ and any $E \subset G$ if $|g| \le \delta n^{1/2}$ and $\mu^{*n}(E) \ge 1-\delta$ then $\mu^{*n}(gEB_{\epsilon n^{1/2}}) \ge \delta$. Here $B_r = \{x : |x| \le r\}$. Then, any 1-cocycle b with coefficients in an weakly mixing unitary representation π has sublinear growth in the sense that $\|b(g)\| \le f(|g|)$ for some f with $f(n)/n \to 0$. In particular if G moreover has a controlled Følner sequence, then G has property H_{FD}.

Proof. Since approximate 1-coboundaries have sublinear growth ([CTV, Corollary 3.3]), we may assume that b is a μ-harmonic cocycle such that $\|b(g)\| \le |g|$. Let $\gamma > 0$ be given arbitrary and put $\epsilon := \gamma\delta^2$. By Lemma in Section 2, there is N such that $n \ge N$ implies

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathcal{H},\, \|\xi\| \le 1} \sum_x \mu^{*n}(x) |\langle b(x), \xi \rangle|^2 < \epsilon^2 n.$$

Take $n \ge \max\{N, 4\delta^{-2}\}$ which fulfills the statement in Proposition. Let $g \in G$ be such that $|g| \le \delta n^{1/2}$. For each unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, put

$$E_\xi := \{x \in G : |\langle b(x), \xi \rangle| \le \epsilon n^{1/2}/\delta\}$$

and observe that $\mu^{*n}(E_\xi) > 1-\delta$. Hence, one has $\mu^{*n}(E_{\pi_g^*\xi} \cap g^{-1}E_\xi B_{\epsilon n^{1/2}}) > 0$ by assumption, and so $x_\xi \in E_{\pi_g^*\xi}$ and $y_\xi \in B_{\epsilon n^{1/2}}$ such that $gx_\xi y_\xi \in E_\xi$ exist. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle b(g), \xi \rangle| &\le |\langle b(gx_\xi y_\xi), \xi \rangle| + |\langle b(x_\xi), \pi_g^*\xi \rangle| + |\langle b(y_\xi), \pi_{gx_\xi}^*\xi \rangle| \\ &\le 3\epsilon n^{1/2}/\delta = 3\gamma\delta n^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that $\|b(g)\| \le 3\gamma\delta n^{1/2}$ for all $g \in G$ such that $|g| \le \delta n^{1/2}$, and so

$$\lim_m \frac{\max\{\|b(g)\| : |g| \le m\}}{m} = \inf_m \frac{\max\{\|b(g)\| : |g| \le m\}}{m} \le \frac{3\gamma\delta n^{1/2}}{\lfloor \delta n^{1/2} \rfloor} \le 6\gamma,$$

where the first equality follows from subadditivity. Since $\gamma > 0$ was arbitrary, this proves the first statement. The second follows from [CTV, Corollary 3.7]. □

Appendix A. Property (T) and harmonic 1-cocycles

We give a simple proof of the theorem of Mok and Korevaar–Schoen cited in the introduction ([Mo, KS], see also [Kl, Appendix A]).

Theorem A. *Let G be a finitely generated group without property (T). Then, for any non-degenerate finitely-supported symmetric probability measure μ on G, there is a nonzero μ-harmonic 1-cocycle with coefficients in some unitary representation.*

Proof. Since G does not have property (T), there is a unitary representation $\pi\colon G \curvearrowright \mathcal{H}$ having approximate invariant vectors but having no nonzero invariant vectors (see [BO, Theorem 12.1.7]). Thus the self-adjoint contraction $T = \sum_x \mu(x)\pi_x \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ contains 1 in the spectrum, but not as an eigenvalue. This means that 1 is a limit point of the spectrum of T. Hence there is a sequence $\epsilon_n \searrow 0$ such that the spectral subspaces $\mathcal{H}_n := E_T([1-2\epsilon_n, 1-\epsilon_n])\mathcal{H}$ are nonzero. Take unit vectors $\xi_n \in \mathcal{H}_n$. One has

$$\sum_x \mu(x)\|\xi_n - \pi_x\xi_n\|^2 = 2(1 - \langle T\xi_n, \xi_n \rangle) \in [2\epsilon_n, 4\epsilon_n].$$

Fix a free ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ and consider the ultrapower unitary representation $\pi_{\mathcal{U}}$ on the ultrapower Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{U}}$ (see [BO, 12.1.4]). Then the map $b\colon G \to \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{U}}$, given by $b(x) = (\epsilon_n^{-1/2}(\xi_n - \pi_x\xi_n))_{n\to\mathcal{U}}$, is a 1-cocycle with coefficients in $\pi_{\mathcal{U}}$ such that

$$\sum_x \mu(x)\|b(x)\|^2 = \lim_{n\to\mathcal{U}} \epsilon_n^{-1} \sum_x \mu(x)\|\xi_n - \pi_x\xi_n\|^2 \in [2,4]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sum_x \mu(x)b(x)\| &= \lim_{n\to\mathcal{U}} \epsilon_n^{-1/2}\|\sum_x \mu(x)(\xi_n - \pi_x\xi_n)\| \\ &= \lim_{n\to\mathcal{U}} \epsilon_n^{-1/2}\|\sum_x (1-T)\xi_n\| \le \lim_{n\to\mathcal{U}} 2\epsilon_n^{1/2} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

This means that b is a nonzero μ-harmonic 1-cocycle. □

References

[B+] I. Benjamini, H. Duminil-Copin, G. Kozma, and A. Yadin; Disorder, entropy and harmonic functions. *Ann. Probab.* **43** (2015), 2332–2373.

[BGT] E. Breuillard, B. Green, and T. Tao; The structure of approximate groups. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **116** (2012), 115–221.

[BO] N. Brown and N. Ozawa; C*-*algebras and Finite-Dimensional Approximations.* Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 88. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.

[CS] I. Chifan and T. Sinclair; On the Ergodic Theorem for Affine Actions on Hilbert Space. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **22** (2015), 429–446.

[CTV] Y. Cornulier, R. Tessera, and A. Valette; Isometric group actions on Hilbert spaces: growth of cocycles. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **17** (2007), 770–792.

[EK] A. Erschler and A. Karlsson; Homomorphisms to $\mathbb{R}$ constructed from random walks. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **60** (2010), 2095–2113.

[Gr] M. Gromov; Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **53** (1981), 53–73.

[Hr] E. Hrushovski; Stable group theory and approximate subgroups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **25** (2012), 189–243.

[Kl] B. Kleiner; A new proof of Gromov's theorem on groups of polynomial growth. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23** (2010), 815–829.

[KS] N. J. Korevaar and R. M. Schoen; Global existence theorems for harmonic maps to non-locally compact spaces. *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **5** (1997), 333–387.

[Mo] N. Mok; Harmonic forms with values in locally constant Hilbert bundles. *Proceedings of the Conference in Honor of Jean-Pierre Kahane (Orsay, 1993). J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 1995, Special Issue, 433–453.

[Sh1] Y. Shalom; Rigidity of commensurators and irreducible lattices. *Invent. Math.* **141** (2000), 1–54.

[Sh2] Y. Shalom; Harmonic analysis, cohomology, and the large-scale geometry of amenable groups. *Acta Math.* **192** (2004), 119–185.

[ST] Y. Shalom and T. Tao; A finitary version of Gromov's polynomial growth theorem. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **20** (2010), 1502–1547.

[Ta] T. Tao; A proof of Gromov's theorem. `https://terrytao.wordpress.com/2010/02/18/`

[vDW] L. van den Dries and A. J. Wilkie; Gromov's theorem on groups of polynomial growth and elementary logic. *J. Algebra* **89** (1984), 349–374.

[Va] N. Th. Varopoulos; Convolution powers on locally compact groups. *Bull. Sci. Math. (2)* **111** (1987), 333–342.

RIMS, Kyoto University, 606-8502 Japan
E-mail address: `narutaka@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp`